



REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
HEADQUARTERS, UNITED STATES ARMY GARRISON, HAWAII
SCHOFIELD BARRACKS, HAWAII 96857-5000



Office of the Garrison Commander

October 3, 2003

Below is a list of corrections to this SBCT Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) dated October 2003.

Errata

| Page | Section | Change |
|---|---|---|
| All Pages | All Sections | Date of publication changed from July 2003 to October 2003. |
| Abstract | Abstract | Correction of e-mail address to SBCT_EIS@poh01.usace.army.mil |
| ES-41, Tbl ES 16, ES-42, 3-65, Fig 3-14, Fig 3-15, 4-62, 4-63, 4-67, Tbl 4-10, 5-148, 5-150, 5-153, 5-156, 5-158, 5-160 to 5-164, 5-173, 6-78 to 6-80, 6-84, 6-85, 6-88 to 6-90, 6-97, 7-82, 7-84, 7-86, 7-88, 7-90, 7-92, 7-93, 7-96, 8-117, 8-125, 8-126, 8-128, 8-130, 8-131, 8-135, 8-136, 8-138, 8-141 to 8-144, 8-154, 8-155, 9-2, 9-38 to 9-40 | Exec Summary, Chapter 3, Ch 4, Ch 5, Ch 6, Ch 7, Ch 8, Ch 9 | “On 17 June 2003, critical habitat was designated by USFWS for 99 plant species on the island of O‘ahu. On 2 July 2003, critical habitat was designated by USFWS for 41 plant species on the island of Hawai‘i. USFWS excluded lands under the Army’s jurisdiction on O‘ahu stating that ‘the comprehensive list of ongoing and proposed management activities detailed in the INRMP addresses each of the management actions...that the Service considers are important in providing a conservation benefit to the species. [T]he Service has determined that lands on the island of O‘ahu which fall under U.S. Army jurisdiction do not meet the definition of critical habitat in the [Endangered Species] Act.’ (50 CFR Part 17 June 17, 2003, pp 36068-36069). Additionally on the island of Hawai‘i the Service makes the following declaration: ‘As explained below, we believe the benefits of designating critical habitat for the 12 species at PTA and the lands being acquired as part of their “Transformation” to a Stryker Brigade Combat Team are relatively low and outweighed by the benefits of excluding these lands from critical habitat. The Army’s PTA, including the lands being acquired for “Transformation”...are excluded from final critical habitat.’ (50 CFR Part 17 July 2, 2003 p. 39685) Within the body of the DEIS, critical habitat is discussed as being proposed by USFWS. In the Final EIS, this language will be corrected to reflect the recent designation of critical habitat on both islands. The Army has initiated formal consultation with USFWS for this proposed action in accordance with Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended. The biological opinion to be issued by USFWS will address any direct, indirect, interdependent and/or interrelated impacts of the proposed action on designated critical habitat. The regions of influence in this EIS are determined by the physical extent of the impacts from the action, and not by the presence or absence of rare or listed species, or designated critical habitat.” |
| 6-51 | 6.8.1 | Correction in paragraph 4, line 6. This sentence should read: “Based on an evaluation by the Corps of Engineers, Honolulu District, Regulatory Branch dated 4 September 2002, the wetland areas were determined to be nonjurisdictional wetlands and not regulated under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.” This letter is included in Appendix E. |
| 6-53 | 6.8.1 | Correction: The wetland at DMR is not a jurisdictional wetland |

| Page | Section | Change |
|------|---------|--|
| 6-57 | 6.8.2 | 4 th and 5 th sentences state “The project as proposed would not affect the jurisdictional wetland located at DMR and therefore would not require review by the Corps. However, if the project were to change where work may occur relative to the wetland the Army would contact the Corps and abide by all appropriate CWA regulations and permit processes administered by the Corps and the State of Hawai‘i.” Per the memo from the Corps in Appendix E, the Corps has confirmed that these wetlands are not jurisdictional. The following sentence should replace the two above: “Based on an evaluation by the Corps of Engineers, Honolulu District, Regulatory Branch dated 4 September 2002, the wetland areas were determined to be nonjurisdictional wetlands and not regulated under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.” |
| 6-71 | 6.10.1 | 4 th paragraph reads “A jurisdictional wetland was identified in the DMR ROI and is described further under Biologically Significant Areas.” The new paragraph should read “A wetland was identified in the DMR ROI and is described further under Biologically Significant Areas. However, based on an evaluation by the Corps of Engineers, Honolulu District, Regulatory Branch dated 4 September 2002, the wetland areas were determined to be nonjurisdictional wetlands and not regulated under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.” |
| 6-84 | 6.10.1 | At the end of the 4 th paragraph please add “Based on an evaluation by the Corps of Engineers, Honolulu District, Regulatory Branch dated 4 September 2002, the wetland areas were determined to be nonjurisdictional wetlands and not regulated under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.” |
| 6-92 | 6.10.2 | 2 nd to the last paragraph, first sentence reads “The jurisdictional wetland identified within the DMR ROI (Figure 6-18) would not be adversely affected by the proposed project. No construction or training has been proposed in this area.” This sentence should read as follows “The wetland identified within the DMR ROI (Figure 6-18) would not be adversely affected by the proposed project. No construction or training has been proposed in this area.” |
| 6-93 | 6.10.2 | 3 rd and 4 th sentences state “The proposed project would not impact this wetland and therefore would not require review by the Corps. However, if the proposed project were to change where work may occur in the wetland, USARHAW will contact the Corps and abide by all appropriate CWA regulations and permit processes administered by the Corps and/or the State of Hawai‘i.” Per the memo from the Corps in Appendix E, the Corps has confirmed that these wetlands are not jurisdictional. The following sentence should replace the two above: “Based on an evaluation by the Corps of Engineers, Honolulu District, Regulatory Branch dated 4 September 2002, the wetland areas were determined to be nonjurisdictional wetlands and not regulated under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.” |